BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 04860 3310

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 1

Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2001-2002

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
SEP - 4 2001
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

EVIDENCE

VOLUME 1

Hamish Stewart

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2001-2002

These materials are distributed solely for classroom use by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/evidence01stew

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 1

1(a) Notes on the Materials 1

1(b) Admissibility: Relevancy, Probative Value, and Prejudice 3
Cloutier v. The Queen 3
Morris v. The Queen 7
R. v. Seaboyer; R. v. Gayme 15

2. WITNESSES: COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY 54

2(a) Spousal Incompetency 54
R. v. Salituro 54
R. v. Hawkins 61
R. v. Duvivier 68

2(b) Does Competence Imply Compellability? 74
Gosselin v. The King 74
R. v. McGinty 76

2(c) Accused's Failure to Testify 89
McConnell and Beer v. The Queen 89
R. v. Noble 93

2(d) The Oath and its Substitutes 110
R. v. Bannerman 110
R. v. Walsh 114

2(e) The Unsworn Evidence of Children 120
R. v. Khan 120
R. v. Marquard 124

2(f) Refreshing and Recording Memory 135

3. HEARSAY 136

3(a) Introduction 136
Subramaniam v. Public Procescutor 136
R. v. Wildman 138
Myers v. Director of Public Prosecutions 145
Ares v. Venner 152
Wright v. Tatham 156
R. v. Wysochan 160
Ratten v. The Queen 162
Note: R. v. Blastland 167
R. v. McKinnon 168

Hearsay Definitions 170
Problems: Hearsay or Non-hearsay? 171
The Rationale for the Exclusion of Hearsay 173

3(b) Some Traditional Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay 175

3(b)(i) Res Gestae 175
R. v. Bedingfield 175
R. v. Clark 177
3(b)(ii) Statements Against Interest 183
The English and American Background 183
R. v. O'Brien 184
R. v. Pelletier 188
Lucier v. The Queen 190
Note on Statements against Interest 191
3(b)(iii) Prior Judicial Proceedings 193
Testimony from Prior Criminal Proceedings 193
R. v. Potvin 193
Testimony from Prior Civil Proceedings 204
Verdicts from Prior Proceedings 205
3(b)(iv) Bodily and Mental Condition 207
3(b)(v) Statements of Intention 207
Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Hillmon 207
R. v. Wainwright 209
R. v. Thomson 210
R. v. P. (R.) 211
3(b)(vi) Statements by Parties 221
Note: Defining Party Admissions 221
Yorkton Agricultural & Industrial Exhibition Association Ltd. v. Morley et al. 222
3(b)(vii) Business records 224

3(c) The Principled Approach to Hearsay 225

R. v. Khan 225
R. v. Smith 230
R. v. B.(K.G.) 239
Problems: Applying B.(K.G.) 264
R. v. Hawkins 265
R. v. Starr 274
Questions on Starr 300
Questions on the Prinicipled Approach 300

4. OPINION EVIDENCE 302

4(a) Fact or Opinion? 302

R. v. Graat 302
Problem 307

4(b) Expert Opinion: Basic Principles 309

4(b)(i) Requirements for Admissibility 309
R v. Mohan 309
R v. Lavallee 313
4(b)(ii) Novel Areas of Expertise 330
Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc , ... 330
Beland v. The Queen 338
4(c) Expert Opinion and Hearsay 356
Wilband v. The Queen 356
R. v. Abbey 357
R. v. Lavallee 365